## **Senate Fiscal Summary**

1<sup>st</sup> Session of the 60<sup>th</sup> Legislature



## Senate Bill 276

Version: INT

**Agency:** Administrative Office of the Courts – Supreme Court

Senate Author: Rader

**House Author:** 

FY'26 Impact: \$93,618.17 Full Year Impact: \$140,427.25

## Bill Summary and Fiscal Analysis:

SB 276 authorizes the board of trustees of a county with a population greater than 500,000 to vote whether to retain all funds on deposit in the Law Library Fund in excess of 25% of the income to the fund during the preceding fiscal year or to transfer such funds, in whole or in part, to the State Judicial Revolving Fund. The measure also assesses a \$12.00 fee on court filings for civil cases in counties with a population greater than 500,000. Per the Administrative Office of the Courts(AOC), Tulsa County remitted excess funds of \$77,915 to the State Judicial Fund in FY'24. Oklahoma County's excess funds for FY'24 totaled \$62,512.25, though the previous Chief Justice approved a waiver for the county to retain those funds. The current Chief Justice has not indicated whether any future waivers would be approved. Language is being struck that states any county over 300,000 may request a transfer of up to \$10,000 from the court fund to the Law Library fund of that county, however this did not occur for Tulsa or Oklahoma County in FY'24. Provided both counties vote to retain excess funds, the combination of lost revenue from Tulsa County and lost potential revenue from Oklahoma County would place the total fiscal impact at \$140,427.25.

Fiscal Impact provided by the Administrative Office of the Courts and Fiscal Staff